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DSP activation schemes description

- **Back to Work (BTW)** – encourages people getting certain social welfare payments to become self-employed. An employee option was also available before May 2009.

- **Back to Education Allowance second level option (SLO)** - supports participation in full-time educational and personal development courses.

- **BTEA third level option (TLO)** – same support for 3rd level courses.

- **FAS training** – vocational training of mainly short duration provided by the National training authority (now with the Education and Training Boards).

- **Community Employment (CE)** – offers part-time and temporary placements in jobs based within local communities to LTU and other disadvantaged groups to assist with return to work.

- **Many new schemes in recent years (JobBridge, Momentum, TUS)** – but long time series needed for evaluation.
## Coverage of scheme ‘finishers’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>BTW</th>
<th>SLO</th>
<th>TLO</th>
<th>FAS</th>
<th>CE</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>5,519</td>
<td>1,451</td>
<td>1,091</td>
<td>6,467</td>
<td>1,741</td>
<td>16,269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>4,293</td>
<td>1,532</td>
<td>1,233</td>
<td>6,373</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>15,331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3,021</td>
<td>1,856</td>
<td>1,173</td>
<td>6,339</td>
<td>1,958</td>
<td>14,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>3,312</td>
<td>1,929</td>
<td>1,088</td>
<td>6,803</td>
<td>2,058</td>
<td>15,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>3,091</td>
<td>2,626</td>
<td>1,247</td>
<td>11,999</td>
<td>2,058</td>
<td>21,021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2,653</td>
<td>5,971</td>
<td>2,494</td>
<td>15,794</td>
<td>2,501</td>
<td>29,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>4,538</td>
<td>6,978</td>
<td>3,859</td>
<td>13,280</td>
<td>2,924</td>
<td>31,579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>26,427</td>
<td>22,343</td>
<td>12,185</td>
<td>67,055</td>
<td>15,140</td>
<td>143,150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Survival rates for LR claims
### Prior LR duration for ‘starters’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prior LR duration</th>
<th>BTW</th>
<th>SLO</th>
<th>TLO</th>
<th>FAS</th>
<th>CE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-6 months</td>
<td>31.2%</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>42.1%</td>
<td>55.8%</td>
<td>40.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>27.0%</td>
<td>19.9%</td>
<td>26.4%</td>
<td>22.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td>28.3%</td>
<td>18.3%</td>
<td>25.8%</td>
<td>12.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-5 years</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>8.3%</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5+ years</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average in months</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Duration on activation schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration on scheme</th>
<th>BTW</th>
<th>SLO</th>
<th>TLO</th>
<th>FAS</th>
<th>CE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-6 months</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>13.5%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>78.6%</td>
<td>12.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-12 months</td>
<td>15.4%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>34.4%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>29.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
<td>18.9%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>23.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+ years</td>
<td>46.0%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>31.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>33.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average in months</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Ages of scheme finishers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age on completion</th>
<th>BTW</th>
<th>SLO</th>
<th>TLO</th>
<th>FAS</th>
<th>CE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Under 25</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>33.1%</td>
<td>13.1%</td>
<td>37.3%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-34</td>
<td>29.4%</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>51.8%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-44</td>
<td>34.7%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>21.3%</td>
<td>17.5%</td>
<td>27.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-54</td>
<td>24.3%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>27.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55+</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>20.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average age</strong></td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next episode ‘employment’
Employment activity – 2008 finishers
Annual Incomes – 2008 finishers
Live Register claims – 2008 finishers
Conclusions from Descriptive Analysis

- Preliminary Results which are ‘indicative’ and need further consideration and development
- Live Register ‘survival rates’ appear better than for the general LR post participation
- Without controls, TLO is the best performer
- However TLO is a long duration intervention with mainly young participants
- Background factors need to be ‘controlled’
- Econometric evaluation of schemes needed.....ESRI were recently commissioned to do this for the BTEA (SLO/TLO)
Description of Department’s ‘Geary’ Datafile

- Longitudinal jobseekers database
- Covers anyone who has made a jobseekers or one-parent family payment since 2004
- Episodic structure – 6 million jobseeker/OPFP episodes (incl BTEA/Jobbridge etc), 18 million jobs and 1 million FAS interventions
- PPS number and Revenue employer number are on all records for linking each way
- Live data – run each weekend
Evaluating the Back to Education Allowance:

Conducting Impact Evaluations of Public Policies using Counterfactual Analysis Techniques
Overview of the BTEA Programme

- Second chance education opportunities scheme
- Two BTEA options: Second-level (SLO) (includes PLCs) and Third-level (TLO) (includes both undergraduate and postgraduate courses)
- Full-time course (but participants can work part-time)
- Eligibility criteria:
  - Qualifying benefit payment (e.g., jobseeker’s, one parent family, etc.)
  - Duration of this payment (3 /9 months)
  - Age (21/24 but some limited exceptions)
  - Commencing first year of a course that will lead to a QQI accreditation
  - Received acceptance onto a qualifying course
  - Progressing in educational qualifications
- Weekly payment (rate varies according to when course commenced and a person’s means)
BTEA Evaluation Objectives

1. Impact of participating in an SLO or TLO BTEA programme on keeping individuals off of the Live Register (i.e., out of unemployment) on completion of their course

2. Impact of participating in an SLO or TLO BTEA programme on helping participants to transition to the labour market on completion of their course

3. Impact of participating in an SLO or TLO BTEA programme on helping participants to pursue another education, training or employment placement programme
Methodology I: Counterfactual Analysis

- Want to know what would happen to unemployed individuals had the BTEA programme not been in place (i.e., unemployed person did not participate in a BTEA option) → want to measure the counterfactual

- Various methods used for estimating the counterfactual, but they all generally rely on measuring the difference in outcomes between people participating in the programme (the treatment group) and those eligible for participation but did not (the control group)
Methodology II: BTEA

- Focussed on individuals in receipt of a jobseeker’s payment who commenced an SLO or TLO BTEA programme in September/October 2008 (treatment groups)

- Control group consists of individuals in receipt of a jobseeker’s payment who had similar unemployment durations to the treatment groups BUT who continued to be unemployed in September/October 2008
Methodology III: BTEA

- Separate evaluations conducted for SLO and TLO BTEA options:
  1. Overall participation in SLO/TLO programme
  2. Level of completion (< 1 year, 1 year, etc.)

- Evaluated in terms of Live Register status in June 2012 and June 2014
  - Time points selected to ensure analysis not affected by lock-in effects/dynamics bias

- Employed Propensity Score Matching (PSM) techniques, which is standard in impact evaluations of public policies
Data

- Provided to the ESRI by the DSP from its *Jobseekers Longitudinal Dataset* (JLD) i.e., Geary datafile

- JLD created through the amalgamation of four administrative data sources

- Contains information on gender, age, marital status, nationality, geographic location, spousal earnings, family type, children dependency allowance, previous occupation, benefit type, employment and unemployment histories, training schemes, etc.

- Education information does not exist for all individuals in the JLD:
  - Used previous occupation to proxy education in order to match our treatment and control groups
  - Excluded managers, professionals and associate professionals (on the basis that such individuals are likely to have a third-level qualification)
Sample

- Original Sample consisted of 222,290 claimants, which was reduced to 190,354 when focussed on jobseeker claimants
  - Control Group = 186,422, TLO Group = 1,518 and SLO Group = 2,414

- Further exclusions:
  1. Control group individuals exposed to SLO/TLO during evaluation period
  2. Treatment group individuals that received other types of training during evaluation period
  3. Control group individuals that participated in a Community Employment or Back to Work programme post September 2008 (lock-in issue)
  4. Top three occupation individuals (managers, professionals and associate professionals) excluded
  5. Some other minor exclusions e.g., cases with missing age information, etc.

- Final Sample = 136,588 (Control Group = 134,289, TLO = 661 and SLO = 1,638)
Conclusions

- Evaluation of the SLO and TLO BTEA programmes nearing completion
- How effective are the other activation schemes - Community Employment, Back-to-Work, Momentum, JobBridge, etc?
- Potential now exists to evaluate these programmes using the Department’s Jobseekers Longitudinal Dataset i.e., Geary datafile
- Important steps in this process:
  1. Counter-factual analysis: existence of a control group to compare programme participants’ performance against
  2. Importance of sample construction to address issues that could bias results (e.g., lock-in effects and dynamics bias)
  3. Methodology used is important to address problems that exist when conducting evaluations (e.g. sample selection bias and unobserved heterogeneity), which, again, would bias results.
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